

# **ECSE 6520: Estimation and Detection Theory**

## **Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimation**

Class Notes - 7

February 13th, 2014

### **Contents**

|          |   |          |
|----------|---|----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Bias-Variance Trade off</b>              | <b>2</b> |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimation</b> | <b>2</b> |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Methods of Finding MVUEs</b>             | <b>3</b> |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Application</b>                          | <b>5</b> |

# 1 Bias-Variance Trade off

- The MSE of an estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  can be broken down into two components, the bias of  $\hat{\theta}$  and the variance of  $\hat{\theta}$ . In particular

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}) = \|Bias(\hat{\theta})\|^2 + tr(Cov(\hat{\theta})).$$

- This means when designing an estimator, you can trade off bias and variance. Decreasing the bias of an estimator will increase the variance, while increasing the bias will decrease variance.
- How practical is the MSE as a design criterion? MSE estimate may depend on unknown parameters. *An alternative is the minimum variance unbiased estimator.*

# 2 Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimation

- In general minimum MSE has non-zero bias and variance. However, in many situations, only the bias depends on the unknown parameters.
- This suggests the following alternative:
  - Constrain the estimator to be unbiased and minimize the variance.
  - Equivalently, minimize the MSE among all unbiased estimators.

**Definition 1** *Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE)* -  $\hat{\theta}$  is called a (uniformly) *Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE)* estimate of  $\theta$  if

- $E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$
- If  $E[\hat{\theta}] = \theta$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ , then  $Var(\hat{\theta}) \leq Var(\hat{\theta}')$  for all  $\theta \in \Theta$ .
- Note that the MVUE criterion requires all estimators to be optimal for all values of  $\theta \in \Theta$ .

## Existence of MVUE

- The MVUE does not always exist. In fact, there may not exist an unbiased estimator.

## 3 Methods of Finding MVUEs

There is no systematic way of finding MVUE. There are three potential ways of finding MVUE.

- Restrict the possible class of estimators to be linear.
- Calculate the Cramer-Rao lower bound (we will cover this later) and see if some estimator achieves the bound. Note that the Cramer-Rao lower bound gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of an efficient estimator. However, MVUE's are not necessarily efficient.
- Apply the Rao-Blackwell theorem with a complete sufficient statistic. Rao-Blackwell theorem, when applied in conjunction with a complete sufficient statistics, gives another way to find MVUEs that applies even when CRLB is not defined.

Recall the Rao-Blackwell theorem:

**Theorem 1 Rao-Blackwell Theorem** - Let  $f_\theta(x)$  be the pdf or the pmf of the random variable  $X$ . Let  $T = \tau(X)$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Let  $\hat{\theta}_1(x)$  be an estimator of  $\theta$  and define the mean square error

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_1) = E[|\hat{\theta}_1(x) - \theta|^2].$$

Define

$$\hat{\theta}_2(x) = E[\hat{\theta}_1(x)|T = \tau(x)].$$

Then

$$MSE(\hat{\theta}_2) \leq MSE(\hat{\theta}_1)$$

with equality if and only if  $\hat{\theta}_1 = \hat{\theta}_2$  with probability 1.

We can apply this theorem to reduce the variance of an unbiased estimator -

- Let  $\hat{\theta}_1$  be an unbiased estimator for  $\theta$  and let  $T = \tau(X)$  be a sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ .

Apply Rao-Blackwell theorem:

- Consider the new estimator

$$\hat{\theta}_2(x) = g(\tau(x)) = E[\hat{\theta}_1(x)|T = \tau(x)].$$

- Then,  $E[\hat{\theta}_2(x)] = E[\hat{\theta}_1(x)] = \theta$  and  $\hat{\theta}_2(x)$  is an unbiased estimator.
- Then by Rao-Blackwell theorem, we can conclude that

$$\text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_2(x)) \leq \text{Var}(\hat{\theta}_1(x)).$$

- Thus, if  $\hat{\theta}_1$  is any unbiased estimator, then smoothing  $\hat{\theta}_1$  with respect to a sufficient statistics decreases the variance while preserving unbiasedness.
- Thus, we can restrict our search for the MVUE to functions of sufficient statistics.

The Rao-Blackwell theorem describes how to reduce the variance of an estimator. But, how do we know that we have an MVUE? Answer:  $T = \tau(X)$  should be a complete sufficient statistics.

**Theorem 2 Lehmann-Scheffe** - *If  $T$  is complete, there is at most one unbiased estimator that is a function of  $T$ .*

- Find a complete sufficient statistic  $T = \tau(X)$ .
- Find any unbiased estimator  $\hat{\theta}$  and set

$$\hat{\theta} = E[\hat{\theta}|T = \tau(x)]$$

- Find a function  $g$  such that

$$\hat{\theta} = g(\tau(x))$$

is unbiased.

- Recall that the sufficient statistics arising from exponential family are complete. However, for this family MVUEs can also be found via CRLB.
- Rao-Blackwell theorem's strength comes from the fact that even if CRLB is not defined, it can produce MVUEs.

## 4 Application

- Assume that we transmit a sequence of radar/sonar waveforms  $s_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  to probe an environment to check if there is any target. Assume that the signals scattered from a point target are attenuated by the reflectivity,  $\alpha$ , of the target, where  $\alpha$  is a non-random, scalar constant. Furthermore, assume that the scattered signal at the receiver is contaminated by additive Gaussian white noise with zero mean and known variance  $\sigma^2$ .
- Our objective is to estimate the reflectivity of the target  $\alpha$  using the MVUE criterion.
- We set up the following model for our received signal  $y_i, i = 1, \dots, N$ .

$$y_i = \omega_i + \alpha s_i \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

where  $\omega_i$  are i.i.d. and  $\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\sigma^2$  is known and  $s_i, i = 1, \dots, N$  are deterministic and known.

- Define  $s = [s_1, \dots, s_N]^T$  and  $y = [y_1, \dots, y_N]^T$ .

- We are going to use the method suggested by Rao-Blackwell and Lehmann-Scheffe theorems in deriving the MVUE for  $\alpha$ .

$$\begin{aligned} f_{\theta}(y) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \alpha s_i)^2\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi\sigma^2)^{N/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2 + -\frac{\alpha}{\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i y_i + -\frac{\alpha^2}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^N s_i^2\right\} \end{aligned}$$

- $\tau(y) = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i y_i$  is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\alpha$ . Why?
- Let  $\hat{\alpha}_1 = \frac{y_1}{s_1}$ . Then,  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  is unbiased. Why?
- Define

$$\hat{\alpha}_2 = g(\tau(y)) = E[\hat{\alpha}_1 \mid \tau(y)].$$

- We need to determine  $g(\tau(y))$ . What is the conditional pdf of  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  given  $\tau(y)$ ? Conditional Gauss ... Why?
- Thus, conditional mean of  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  given  $\tau(y)$  is

$$\hat{\alpha}_2 = g(\tau(y)) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^N s_i y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^N s_i^2}.$$

**Let's consider the case where both  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma^2$  is unknown.**

- Define  $\theta = [\alpha, \sigma^2]^T$ .
- From the pdf of  $y$  above

$$\tau(y) = [\tau_1(y), \tau_2(y)] = \left[ \sum_{i=1}^N s_i y_i, \sum_{i=1}^N y_i^2 \right]$$

is a complete sufficient statistic for  $\theta$ . Why?

- We need to determine an unbiased estimate of  $\theta$  first.  $\hat{\alpha}_1$  defined above is an unbiased estimator of  $\alpha$ . Since  $\hat{\alpha}_2$  does not involve  $\sigma^2$ ,  $\hat{\alpha}_2$  is still an MVUE for  $\alpha$ .

- Show that

$$E\left[\tau_2(y) - \frac{\tau_1^2(y)}{\sum_{i=1}^N s_i^2}\right] = (N-1)\sigma^2.$$

- Thus, we have an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$  as a function of the complete sufficient statistic  $\tau(y)$ . As a result

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{(N-1)}\left[\tau_2(y) - \frac{\tau_1^2(y)}{\sum_{i=1}^N s_i^2}\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^N (y_i - \hat{\alpha}s_i)^2\end{aligned}$$

is MVUE for  $\sigma^2$ . Why?