

# Wireless Ad Hoc and Sensor Networks

---

## **MAC Layer Introduction & the IEEE802.11 standard**


Alhussein Abouzeid

ECSE, RPI

September 15th, 2005


# Wireless MAC Classification

---



# Wireless MAC Classification

---




# Fixed-Assignment MAC

---

- All existing voice-oriented wireless networks, such as cellular telephony or PCS services, use fixed-assignment channel partitioning techniques
- A fixed allocation of channels are made on a predetermined basis to a single user for the duration of the communication session.
- Channels are formed by allocating different frequencies (FDMA), time slots (TDMA), or spread spectrum codes (CDMA).
- Choice has a great impact on the network performance, to the extent that various voice-oriented wireless systems are commonly referred to by their channel access method (although it is only a part of the layer two specification!).

# Wireless MAC: A Simple Classification

---



# Random Access MAC Classification

---

- Two broad classes of random access methods:
  - ALOHA-based random access methods [Abramson70]
    - Used mainly for wide-area data networks
  - Carrier-sense based random access methods
    - Used mainly for wireless LANs
    - Hidden terminal and exposed terminal problems
    - From CSMA/CD to CSMA/CA
    - CSMA/CA with RTS/CTS (MACA, MACAW, etc.)
    - Priority and Fairness
    - Power conservation
    - MAC for directional antennas

# Random Access MAC

---

- Evolved around **bursty data** applications in computer networks.
- Fixed-assignment methods
  - make relatively efficient use of communications resources when each user has a steady flow of information to be transmitted during each session
  - Could be a waste of resources for intermittent traffic
  - Require an “arbitrator”
- **Random access methods** provide more flexible and efficient ways of managing medium access for short bursty messages
  - Could think of them as distributed statistical multiplexing techniques
- A natural consequence is that there is **contention** among the users for access to the medium channels – manifested in “**collisions**” of contending transmissions
- These methods are sometimes called **contention schemes**
- Mainly used in wired and wireless LANs and data-oriented WANs


# From CSMA/CD to CSMA/CA

---


- Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection (CSMA/CD) is used in IEEE 802.3 but not IEEE 802.11. Why?

# Difference Between Wired and Wireless Random Access MAC

---



**Wireless LAN**



- If both A and C sense the channel to be idle at the same time, they send at the same time.
- Collision can be detected **at sender** in Wired LANs (Ethernet).
- In wireless, it might not be possible to detect collision at sender.


# MAC: from wired to wireless

---

- Can we apply the same MAC methods of wired networks to wireless networks?
- CSMA/CD
  - Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection
  - Basic method in IEEE 802.3 standards
  - “Sense” the medium, send as soon as the medium is free, and listen to the medium to “detect collisions”
- CSMA/CD does **not** work in wireless networks
  - Signal strength decreases proportional to the square of the distance (assuming free space propagation) → **Hidden Terminal** and **Exposed Terminal** problems
    - Sender would apply CS and CD, but collisions happen at the receiver
    - Might be the case that a sender cannot “hear” the collision or cannot “sense” another carrier at the receiver


# Exposed Terminal Problem

---



- Exposed terminals (A valid comm can not take place because the sender is exposed)
  - A starts sending to B.
  - C senses carrier, finds medium in use and has to wait for A->B to end.
  - D is outside the range of A, therefore waiting is not necessary.
  - A and C are “exposed” terminals i.e. A and C could communicate to their receivers at the same time (since their receivers are far apart), but because A and C can be exposed to each other (i.e. can hear each other), one of them needlessly refrains from transmitting.
- A->B and C->D transmissions could have taken place in parallel without collisions


# Hidden Terminal Problem [Tobagi75]



- **Hidden terminals:** *A node within the range of two nodes that are out of range*
  - A and C cannot hear each other.
  - A sends to B, C cannot receive A.
  - C wants to send to B, C senses a “free” medium (CS fails)
  - Collision occurs at B.
  - A cannot hear the collision (CD fails).
  - A is “hidden” for C.
- **Solution?**
  - Hidden terminal is peculiar to wireless (not found in wired)
  - Need to sense carrier at receiver, not sender!
  - “virtual carrier sensing”: Sender (node C) “asks” receiver (node B) whether it senses the channel is busy. If so, behave as if channel busy.

# RTS/CTS solution of Hidden Terminal Problem

---



*Hidden Terminal Problem Solved  
through RTS-CTS exchange!*

# CSMA/CA + RTS/CTS (Contd.)

---

- 802.11 DCF MAC:
  - CSMA/CA
  - Control packet transmissions precede data packet transmissions to facilitate collision avoidance
  - 4-way (RTS, CTS, Data, ACK) exchange for every data packet transmission
  
- Can there be collisions?
  - Control packet collisions (C transmitting RTS at the same time as A)
  - C does not register B's CTS
  - C moves into B's range after B's CTS

# CSMA/CA Algorithm

---

- Sense channel (CS)
- If busy
  - Back-off to try again later
- Else
  - Send RTS
  - If CTS not received
    - Back-off to try again later
  - Else
    - Send Data
    - If ACK not received
      - Back-off to try again later
    - Next packet processing


# CSMA/CA Algorithm (Contd.)

---

- Maintain a value CW (ContentionWindow)
- If Busy,
  - Wait till channel is idle. Then choose a random number between 0 and CW and start a back-off timer for proportional amount of time (Why?).
  - If transmissions within back-off amount of time, freeze back-off timer and start it once channel becomes idle again (Why?)
- If Collisions (Control or Data)
  - Binary exponential increase (doubling) of CW (Why?)

# DCF Example

---



**cw = 31**

**B1 and B2 are backoff intervals  
at nodes 1 and 2**

# Backoff Interval

---

- The time spent counting down backoff intervals is a part of MAC overhead
- Choosing a *large cw* leads to large backoff intervals and can result in larger overhead
- Choosing a *small cw* leads to a larger number of collisions (when two nodes count down to 0 simultaneously)

- 
- Since the number of nodes attempting to transmit simultaneously may change with time, some mechanism to manage contention is needed
  - IEEE 802.11 DCF: contention window *CW* is chosen dynamically depending on collision occurrence

# Binary Exponential Backoff in DCF


---

- When a node fails to receive CTS in response to its RTS, it increases the contention window
  - $cw$  is doubled (up to an upper bound)
- When a node successfully completes a data transfer, it restores  $cw$  to  $Cwmin$
- $cw$  follows a sawtooth curve


# Several MAC improvements proposed...

---

- For the scenario below, when node A sends an RTS to B, while node C is receiving from D, node B cannot reply with a CTS, since B knows that D is sending to C
- When the transfer from C to D is complete, node B can send a Request-to-send-RTS to node A
  - Node A may then immediately send RTS to node B



- 
- This approach, however, does not work in the scenario below
    - Node B may not receive the RTS from A at all, due to interference with transmission from C



# IEEE 802.11

---

- The 802.11 standard
  - provides MAC and PHY functionality for wireless connectivity of fixed, portable and moving stations moving at pedestrian and vehicular speeds within a local area.
- Specific features of the 802.11 standard include the following:
  - Accommodation of transmission rates of 1, 2, 11, and 54 Mbps
  - Operates at either 2.4 GHz or 5 GHz unlicensed ISM bands
  - Support Multicast/broadcast services
  - Network management services
  - Registration and authentication services
  - In certain modes, could support of asynchronous and time-bounded delivery service

# IEEE 802.11 modes of operation

---

## ■ Infrastructure Mode

- Each Mobile Station (MS) associates itself with an Access Point (AP)
- An AP+MS's associated with it are called a Basic Service Set (BSS)
- BSS's may (should? For handoff) overlap
  - An isolated BSS is called an Independent BSS
  - A set of Ap's connected with a LAN are called an Extended BSS
- Modes of communication permitted are  $AP \leftrightarrow MS$

## ■ Ad-Hoc Mode

- $MS \leftrightarrow MS$  i.e. no access point

# IEEE 802.11 Logical Architecture

---

- The logical architecture of the 802.11 standard that applies to each station consists of a single MAC and one of multiple PHYs
- PHYs
  - Frequency hopping PHY
  - Direct sequence PHY
  - Infrared light PHY
- MAC
  - Point Coordination Function (**PCF**)
    - in infrastructure mode – centralized MAC
  - Distributed Coordination Function (DCF)
    - in ad-hoc mode – distributed MAC)

# PHYs

---

- The original (~1997) 802.11 supported three options
  - FHSS, 2.4 GHz, 1 or 2 Mbps (depending on modulation scheme)
  - DSSS, 2.4 GHz, 1 or 2 Mbps
  - Infrared, 850-950-nm range, 1 or 2 Mbps

## Newer standards:

- 802.11b (1999)
  - Improvement to DSSS (2.4 GHz) to support rates of 5.5 and 11 Mbps (new modulation techniques)
- 802.11a (1999)
  - Uses OFDM in the 5 GHz band, rates up to 54 Mbps
- 802.11g
  - Aims at providing .11a speeds at 2.4 GHz band.

# IEEE 802.11 MAC

---

- DCF
  - CSMA/CA+RTS/CTS – A distributed contention based protocol
- PCF
  - Contention-free access protocol usable on infrastructure network configurations containing a controller (AP) called a point coordinator within the BSS
- Both the DCF and PCF can operate concurrently within the same BSS to provide alternative contention and contention-free periods

# PCF in 802.11 MAC

---

- Its objective is to provide QoS guarantees (e.g. bound the max access delay, bound the minimum guaranteed txmt rate)
- Is a centralized MAC – applicable only in the infrastructure mode
- The AP polls the nodes in its BSS
- A PC (point coordinator) at the AP splits the access time into super frame periods
- A super frame period consists of alternating contention free periods (CFPs) and contention periods (CPs)
- The PC then determines which station transmits at any point in time

# DCF in 802.11

---

- The AP doesn't control the medium access
- CSMA/CA+RTS/CTS – distributed random access

# Events during 802.11 DCF exchange

---

- Time measured in terms of a basic time-slot (depends on PHYs e.g. 9 ms in .11a)
- IFS
  - Inter-frame spacing
  - Transmission of a pkt is allowed only after the appropriate IFS has passed while the channel remains idle
  - SIFS: the shortest of all, used for high priority frames such as control messages
  - $SIFS < PIFS < DIFS$
  - EIFS: longest, used for least priority data e.g. resynch
  - Figure !

# Other Issues (to be discussed in future meetings)

---

- Energy Conservation
  - Power save
- Power control
- Directional Antennas
- Fairness

# Impact of Power Save on Upper Layers

---

- IEEE 802.11 has a Beacon-based power-save mode
- If each node uses the 802.11 power-save mechanism, each hop will require one beacon interval for wake-up
  - This delay could be intolerable
- Allow upper layers to dictate whether a node should enter the power save mode or not [Chen01mobicom]

# Power Control

---


Power control has (at least) two potential benefits

- Reduced interference & increased spatial reuse
- Energy saving

# Power Control

---


- When C transmits to D at a high power level, B cannot receive A's transmission due to interference from C



# Power Control

---

- If C reduces transmit power, it can still communicate with D
  - Reduces energy consumption at node C
  - Allows B to receive A's transmission (spatial reuse)




# Power Control

---

- Received power level is proportional to inverse square of distance

- If power control is utilized, potential energy savings




- Shorter hops typically preferred for energy consumption
  - Transmit to C from A via B, instead of directly from A to C

# Directional Antennas

---

- Increased range by limiting energy waste in unnecessary directions




- Directional antenna gain higher than omnidirectional antenna gain
- Number of neighbors may be greater
- Number of hops to a destination may be smaller

# Directional Antennas

---

- Directional antenna gain higher than omnidirectional antenna gain



- Reach a given neighbor with less power than omnidirectional transmission

# Directional Antennas

---

## Potential benefits

- Higher spatial reuse
- Greater range (for given transmit power)
- Reduction in energy consumption

But need **new** MAC protocols to best utilize directional antennas

# Wireless Fair Queuing

---

- Wireless channel capacities are scarce
- Fair sharing of bandwidth becomes critical
- Both short-term and long-term fairness important
- Location dependent and bursty errors
  - For the same wireless channel, a mobile station might experience a clean channel while another might experience high error rates.

# References

---

- F. A. Tobagi and L. Kleinrock, "Packet switching in radio channels. II. The hidden terminal problem in carrier sense multiple access and the busy-tone solution," IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 23, no. 12, (Dec. 1975) : 1417-33.
- P. Karn, "MACA - A new channel access method for packet radio," in Proc. of ARRL/CRRL Amateur Radio 9th Computer Networking Conference, September 1990.
- V. Bharghavan, A. Demers, S. Shenker, and L. Zhang, "MACAW: A media access protocol for wireless LANs," in ACM SIGCOMM, pp. 212-225, August 1994.
- N. H. Vaidya, P. Bahl, and S. Gupta, "Distributed fair scheduling in a wireless LAN," in Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MOBICOM), August 2000.
- T. Nandagopal, T. Kim, X. Gao, and V. Bharghavan, "Achieving mac layer fairness in wireless packet networks," in Annual International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MOBICOM), August 2000.
- S. Singh and C. Raghavendra, "PAMAS - power aware multi-access protocol with signalling for ad hoc networks," ACM Computer Communications Review, 1998.
- F. Talucci and M. Gerla and L. Fratta, "MACA-BI (MACA By Invitation)-a receiver oriented access protocol for wireless multihop networks," in 8th IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, vol.2, pp. 435-9, 1997.
- Brahim Bensaou, Yu Wang, Chi Chung Ko, "Fair medium access in 802.11 based wireless ad-hoc networks," in Proceedings of the first ACM international symposium on Mobile and ad hoc networking & computing (MOBIHOC), November, 2000, Boston, MA, USA.
- R. Bruno and M. Conti and E. Gregori, "A simple protocol for the dynamic tuning of the backoff mechanism in IEEE 802.11 networks," Computer Networks (Elsevier) 37, no. 1, (Sept. 2001) .
- X. Yang and N. Vaidya, "Priority Scheduling in Wireless Ad Hoc Networks," MOBIHOC'02, Lausanne, Switzerland, 2002.
- J.L. Sobrinho, J.L.; A.S. Krishnakumar, "Quality-of-service in ad hoc carrier sense multiple access wireless networks," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, 17, no. 8, (Aug. 1999) : 1353-68.
- [A power controlled multiple access protocol for wireless packet networks](#)  
Author: Monks, J.P.; Bharghavan, V.; Hwu, W.-M.W. In: Proceedings IEEE INFOCOM 2001. Conference on Computer Communications. Twentieth Annual Joint Conference of the IEEE Computer and Communications Society (Cat. No.01CH37213); Piscataway, NJ, USA : IEEE, 2001, 3 vol. xxvi+1810 p. (219-28 vol.1); Doc. Type: Conference Paper
- [A MAC protocol for mobile ad hoc networks using directional antennas](#)  
Author: Nasipuri, A.; Ye, S.; You, J., and others In: 2000 IEEE Wireless Communications and Networking Conference. Conference Record (Cat. No.00TH8540); Piscataway, NJ, USA : IEEE, 2000, 3 vol. xxx+11602 p. (1214-19 vol.3); Doc. Type: Conference Paper
- [Using Directional Antennas for Medium Access Control in Ad Hoc Networks](#), Romit Roy Choudhury, Xue Yang, Ram Ramanathan, and Nitin Vaidya, ACM International Conference on Mobile Computing and Networking (MobiCom), September 2002.
- [Directional Virtual Carrier Sensing for Directional Antennas in Mobile Ad Hoc Networks](#), Mineo Takai, Jay Martin, Aifeng Ren, and Rajive Bagrodia (UCLA, USA), MOBIHOC'02, Lausanne, Switzerland