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$\square$ Routing Tables \& static routing $\qquad$

- Dynamic routing (inter- and intra-domain)
$\square$ Distance vector vs Link state routing $\qquad$
- RIP, RIPv2
- OSPF $\qquad$
- Refs: Chap 9, 10.
- Books: "Routing in Internet" by Huitema,
"Interconnections" by PerIman
Shivkumar Kalyanaraman


## Routing vs Forwarding

$\qquad$

- Fig 9.1
- Routing table used by IP forwarding. Can
$\qquad$ display routing table using command "netstat -rn" $\qquad$
- Route Table setup by:
- a) 'route' command
$\qquad$
- b) routing daemon (eg: ‘routed')
- c) ICMP redirect message.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## Routing Table structure

- Fields: destination, gateway, flags, ...
- Destination: can be a host address or a network address. If the ' H ' flag is set, it is the host address.
- Gateway: router/next hop IP address. The ' $G$ ' flag says whether the destination is directly or indirectly connected.
- U flag: Is route up ?
- G flag: router (indirect vs direct)
- H flag: host (dest field: host or n/w address?)
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## Static Routing

$\qquad$

- Upon booting, default routes initialized from files. Eg: /etc/rc.net in AIX, /etc/netstart in BSD, /etc/rc.local in SUN/Solaris
- Use 'route' command to add new routes eg: route add default sun 1
- ICMP redirect: sent to host by router when a "better" router exists on the same subnet.
- Alt: router discovery ICMP messages $\qquad$ $\square$ Router solicitation request from host $\square$ Router advertisement messages from routers
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## Dynamic routing

$\qquad$

- Internet organized as "autonomous systems" (AS). $\qquad$
- Interior Gateway Protocols (IGPs) within AS. Eg: RIP, OSPF, HELLO
- Exterior Gateway Protocols (EGPs) for AS to AS routing. Eg: EGP, BGP-4
- Reality: most of internet uses default routes (which is allowed within dynamic routing). Serious dynamic routing starts near core of
$\qquad$ AS and from one AS to another.


## Dynamic routing methods

- Source-based: chart route at source.
- Link state routing: Get map of network (in terms of link states) and calculate best route (but specify only a signpost: l.e. the next-hop)
- Distance vector: Set up signposts to destinations looking at neighbors' signposts.
- Key: to make it a "distributed" algorithm ?


## Distance Vector routing

- "Vector" of distances (signposts) to each possible destination at each router.
- How to find distances ?
- Distance to local network is 0 .
- Look in neighbors' distance vectors, and add link cost to reach the neighbor
- Find which direction yields minimum distance to to particular destination. Turn signpost that way.
- Keep checking if neighbors change their signposts and modify local vector if necessary.
- And that's it !
-Called the "Bellman-Ford algorithm"
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## Routing Information Protocol

$\qquad$

- Uses hop count as metric
- Tables (vectors) "advertised" to neighbors $\qquad$ every 30 s .
- Robustness: Entries reinitialized (as 16 or infinity) if no refresh for 180 s .
- Efficiency: Triggered updates used to inform neighbors when table changes.
- Protocol details:
- Runs over UDP.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
- Init: send request message asking for vectors
- Format can carry upto 25 routes (within 512 bytes)
$\qquad$
- RIPv1 does not carry subnet masks => many networks use default of $\mathbf{2 5 5 . 2 5 5}$.255.0

[^0]
## RIP problems

- Counting-to-infinity problem:
- Simple configuration $A->B->C$. If $C$ fails, $B$ needs to update and thinks there is a route through $A$. $A$ needs to update and thinks there is a route thru $B$.
$\square$ No clear solution, except to set "infinity" to be small (eg 16 in RIP)
- Split-horizon: If A's route to C is thru B, then A advertises C's route (only to $B$ ) as infinity.
- Slow convergence after topology change:
$\qquad$ $\square$ Due to count to infinity problem $\square$ Also information cannot propagate thru node until it recalculates routing info.
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## RIP problems (contd)

$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Black-holes:

- If one node goes broke and advertises route of $\qquad$ zero to several key networks, all nodes immediately point to it.
- How to install a fix in a distributed manner ?? - Require protocol to be "self-stabilizing" l.e even if some nodes are faulty, once they are isolated, the system should quickly return to normal operation
- Broadcasts consume non-router resources
- Does not support subnet masks (VLSMs)
- No authentication
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## RIPv2

- Why ? Installed base of RIP routers
- Provides: $\qquad$
- VLSM support
$\square$ Authentication $\qquad$
$\square$ Multicasting
-"Wire-sharing" by multiple routing $\qquad$ domains,
- Tags to support EGP/BGP routes. $\qquad$
- Uses reserved fields in RIPv1 header.
$\square$ First route entry replaced by authentication $\qquad$ info.


## Link State protocols

- Create a network "map" at each node.
-For a map, we need inks and attributes (link states), not of destinations and metrics (distance vector)
- 1. Node collects the state of its connected links and forms a "Link State Packet" (LSP)
- 2. Broadcast LSP => reaches every other node in the network.
- 3. Given map, run Dijkstra's shortest path algorithm => get paths to all destinations
- 4. Routing table $=$ next hops of these paths.
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## Dijkstra's algorithm

$\qquad$

- A.k.a "Shortest Path First" (SPF) algorithm.
- Idea: compute shortest path from a "root" node to every other node."Greedy method": $\square \underline{P}$ is a set of nodes for which shortest path has already been found.
aFor every node "o" outside P, find shortest one-hop path from some node in $P$.
- Add that node " 0 " which has the shortest of these paths to P. Record the path found. -Continue till we add all nodes (\&paths) to $P$ $\qquad$
$\qquad$


## Dijkstra's algorithm

$\qquad$

- P: (ID, path-cost, next-hop) triples.
aID: node id. $\qquad$
-Path-cost: cost of path from root to node
- Next-hop: ID of next-hop on shortest path from the root to reach that node $\qquad$
$\square \mathrm{P}$ : Set of nodes for which the best path cost (and next-hop from root) have been found.
- I: (ID, path-cost, next-hop):
- Set of candidate nodes at a one-hop distance from some node in $P$.
$\square$ Note: there is only one entry per node. In the interim, some nodes may not lie in $P$ or $T$.
$\qquad$
interim, some nodes may not lie in P or T.
$\qquad$
- $\underline{R}=$ Routing table: (ID, next-hop) to be created


## Dijkstra's algorithm

- 1. Put root l.e., (myID, 0,0 ) in $P$ \& (myID,0) to R.
- 2. If node $\underline{N}$ is just put into $P$, look at $N$ 's links (l.e. its LSP).
-2a. For each link to neighbor $\underline{M}$, add cost of the root-to-N-path to the cost of the N -to-M-link (from LSP) to determine a new cost: $\underline{C}$.
-2b. The "next-hop" corresponds to the next-hop ID in N's tuple (or N if M is the root itself): $\underline{h}$
-2c. If M not in $T$ (or $P$ ) with better path cost, add (M, C, h) to T.
- 3. If $T=$ empty, terminate. Else, move the min-cost triple from $T$ to $P$, and add ( $M, h$ ) to R. Go to step 2.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
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## Topology dissemination

$\qquad$

- aka LSP distribution
- 1. Flood LSPs on links except incoming link $\qquad$
- Require at most 2 E transfers for $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{w}$ with E edges
$\square$ 2. Sequence numbers to detect duplicates
$\qquad$
Why? Routers/links may go down/up
- Problem: wrap-around => have large seq \# space $\qquad$
- 3. Age field (similar to TTL)
- Periodically decremented after acceptance $\qquad$
- Zero => discard LSP \& request everyone to do so
- Router awakens => knows that all its old LSPs $\qquad$ would have been purged and can choose a new initial sequence number $\qquad$


## Link state vs Distance vector

$\square$ Advantages:

- More stable (aka fewer routing loops)
$\square$ Faster convergence than distance vector
- Easier to discover network topology, troubleshoot network.
- Can do better source-routing with linkstate
- Type \& Quality-of-service routing (multiple route tables) possible
- Caveat: With path-vector-type distance
vector routing, these arguments don't hold


## OSPF

- OSPF runs directly on top of IP (not over UDP)
- It can calculate a separate set of routes for each IP type of service (=> multiple routing entries)
- Dimensionless cost (eg: based on throughput, delay)
- Load balancing: distributing traffic equally among routes
- Supports VLSMs: subnet mask field in header
- Supports multicasting, authentication, unnumbered networks (point-to-point). elaer Polytechnic Institute 19 Shivkumar Kalyanaraman
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