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## SECTION 1

## Introduction

"This document describes three table look-up methods for sine-wave generation ..."

Sine-wave generators are used in communications and control applications (1,2). With the introduction of high-speed high-precision digital signal processors, stable and low distortion sine waves of any frequency can be produced digitally using some form of table look-up with interpolation to reduce distortion $(3,4,5)$. This document describes three table look-up methods for sine-wave generation and provides the Total Harmonic Distortion (THD) performance and Maximum Synthesizable Frequency (MSF) for each case.

A routine for synthesizing sine waves having frequencies limited to integer multiples of the Fundamental Table Frequency (FTF) is described in Section 3.1. The MSF is highest using this approach. In Section 3.2 a routine using only direct table look-up for synthesizing sine waves having frequencies which are fractional multiples of the FTF is described. This approach can be used to synthesize sine waves with frequencies which are not integer multiples of the FTF but they have substantially higher THD. A routine for synthesizing sine waves using table look-up with interpolation is described in Section 4. Sine waves with frequencies which are not limited to multiples of the FTF and yet have low THD are possibly using this synthesis approach.

## SECTION 2

## Background

"The maximum value delta can assume is $\mathrm{N} / 2$ since at least two samples per cycle are required to synthesize a sine wave without aliasing."

The values used for approximating a sine wave are stored in a table in memory as follows:

| Table 2-1 Sine-Wave Table Values |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{i}=\mathrm{N}-1$ | $\sin [(\mathrm{N}-1) \cdot 360 / \mathrm{N}]$ |
|  | - |
|  | - |
| $i \rightarrow$ | $\sin [(\mathrm{i}) \cdot 360 / \mathrm{N}]$ |
|  | - |
|  | - |
|  | $\sin [(2) \cdot 360 / \mathrm{N}]$ |
|  | $\sin [(1) \cdot 360 / \mathrm{N}]$ |
| BASE ADDRESS ( $\mathrm{l}=0$ ) | $\sin [(0) \cdot 360 / \mathrm{N}]$ |

where:

$$
N=\text { the table length }
$$

$i=$ the index into the table; $0 \leq i \leq N-1$
$\sin [i \cdot 360 / N]=$ the value stored at the jth location in the table. $i \cdot 360 / \mathrm{N}$ is the angle, in degrees, for which the sine function is calculated. Throughout the remainder of this document, the abbreviation S[i] will be used to represent this function.

Note that the length of the table can be traded off against software in that, except for the sign, only one quarter of the table values are unique. The frequency of the digital sine wave generated depends upon the time interval and the phase angle increment (delta, $\Delta$ ) between successive table accesses.

If delta is unity (i.e., the entries are read sequentially ) and the table is accessed every T seconds ( T is referred to as the sampling interval), the FTF of the sine wave synthesized will be:

$$
\mathrm{FTF}=1 / \mathrm{NT} \mathrm{~Hz}
$$

Eqn. 2-1
On the other hand, if delta is greater than unity, e.g., every second $(\Delta=2)$ or third $(\Delta=3)$ entry is read, (see Figure 3-2) and the table is still accessed every T seconds, then the frequency of the sine wave synthesized will be:

$$
\mathrm{f}=\Delta \cdot \mathrm{FTF} \mathrm{~Hz} ; \Delta \leq \mathrm{N} / 2
$$

Eqn. 2-2
If delta is an integer value, only multiples of the FTF can be generated; whereas, if delta is allowed to be fractional, any frequency up to the MSF can be generated. The maximum value delta can assume is $\mathrm{N} / 2$ since at least two samples per cycle are required to synthesize a sine wave without aliasing.

The value of the sample output, $x(n)$, will depend on the initial phase angle, phi ( $\phi$ ), and the time or sample index, $n$, as follows:

$$
\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{n})=\sin [\phi+\mathrm{n} \cdot \Delta \cdot 360 / \mathrm{N}] ; \mathrm{n}=0,1,2, \ldots \quad \text { Eqn. 2-3 }
$$

The THD of the synthesized sine wave depends upon the length of the table, N , the accuracy (number of bits of precision) of the data stored in the table and the value of delta.

## SECTION 3

## Direct Table Look-Up

### 3.1 Integer Delta Implementation

"When fractional values of delta are used, samples of points between table entries must be estimated using the table values."

This implementation is a direct table look-up method with delta being a positive integer number. Because delta is limited to being an integer all the required samples are contained within the table; no approximations are necessary. Figure 3-1 illustrates this method using $\mathrm{N}=8$ and $\Delta=2$.

The assembler listing for the SINe-Wave Generation Integer Delta ("SINWGID") routine is presented in Figure 3-2. The corresponding memory map is shown in Figure 3-3.

Although no assembler options are indicated in this listing, a number of options are available. Refer to the Macro Assembler Reference Manual for information (6).

The memory locations can also be changed to suit the user's needs. In this routine the sine table ( $\mathrm{N}=256$ ) is in internal Y ROM starting at address HEX 100 to minimize external accesses and preserve RAM for data variables. The actual 256 sine table values stored are given in Appendix B. The output location is chosen to be an address in external I/O space, Y:\$FFE0.

The part of the routine that generates the sine samples is given in the form of a subroutine to facilitate calculation of the MSF. Only a MOVEP and a JMP instruction must be executed to output a sample point. This MOVEP instruction utilizes the modulo addressing capability of the DSP56001/2. Three address arithmetic unit registers are used in this routine; the address register, R1, contains the index into the sine table, the offset register, N 1 , contains the value of delta, and the modifier register, M1, contains the value $\mathrm{N}-1$ to set up the modulo buffer.


Figure 3-1 Integer Delta
NOTE: In Figure 3-2, the numbers in the parentheses at the far right of the subroutine portion of the listing indicate the number of instruction cycles required to complete the specific instruction. These numbers have been manually included as comments to ease the calculation of MSF in Eqn. 3-1.


Figure 3-2 "SINWGID" Routine


Figure 3-3"SINWGID" Memory Map

Resources required for the "SINWGID" routine:
Data ROM 256 24-bit words for the sine table

## Program Memory 6 24-bit words for the initialization

2 24-bit words for the subroutine

The MSF for the given sine-wave subroutine would be achieved by replacing the RTS instruction with the JMP SINEG instruction. After performing the above replacement, MSF is given by:

$$
\text { MSF }=\frac{1}{2 \cdot \operatorname{IcyC} \cdot \mathrm{SIC}}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { SIC }= & \text { the total number of Subroutine } \\
& \text { Instruction Cycles in "sineg". }
\end{aligned}
$$

SIC can be obtained by adding the numbers in the brackets incorporated in the subroutine

> Icyc = the instruction cycle execution time

For example, in Eqn. 3-1, if Icyc = 50 ns and SIC = 4 cycles:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { MSF } & =1 /(2 \cdot 50 \cdot 4) \mathrm{MHz} \\
& =2.5 \mathrm{MHz}
\end{aligned}
$$

The results above compare very favorably in terms of both program memory requirements and execution speed with those for other competitive products (7).

### 3.2 Real Delta Implementation

This implementation is a direct table look-up method with delta being a positive real number, that is, a number consisting of an integer and a fractional part. When fractional values of delta are used, samples of points between table entries must be estimated using the table values. The most straightforward estimation is to use the previous table entry. This approach is described in this section and illustrated in Figure 3-4 for $N=8$ and $\Delta=2.5$.


Figure 3-4 Real Data Without Interpolation

The assembler listing for the SINe-Wave Generation Real Delta ("SINWGRD") routine is presented in Figure 3-5. The memory map (see Figure 3-6) is the same as that used for the SINWGID routine except that R4 is used to point to the output device. This saves one cycle since the previous sample can be output while the accumulator is being updated using the parallel move feature of the DSP56001/2. The programmer's model for the Data ALU is presented in Figure 3-7.


Figure 3-5 "SINWGRD" Routine


Figure 3-6 "SINWGRD" Memory Map


| Unsigned Fractional Delta |
| :---: |
| B0 |

$\square$

- Unsigned Fractional Offset
A0

Figure 3-7 "SINWGRD" Data ALU Programmer's Model

Given delta is now a real number, the inherent modulo addressing mode in the DSP56001/2. cannot be used and therefore a modulo addressing scheme must be implemented in software. The following is a description of one approach to implementing such a scheme. This approach uses both accumulators.

In this routine the integer part of delta is stored in the upper portion of an accumulator (B1 for the example given), and the fractional part is stored in the lower portion of the same accumulator (B0). Care should be taken to ensure that the fractional part is stored correctly. The number moved into B0 as a result of subtracting the integer portion from delta, i.e. $\Delta$-@cvi( $\Delta$ ), is a signed, i.e. positive, fraction. This is undesirable since the sign bit should be associated with the integer portion. Therefore a shift to the left must be performed to eliminate the sign bit leaving the unsigned fraction in B0.

The separation of integer and fractional portions is done by using the assembler "ConVert to Integer" built-in function (@cvi). The CVI function converts real numbers to integers by simply truncating the fractional part of the number. Therefore:

- @cvi( $\Delta$ ) returns the integer portion of delta
- $\Delta$-@cvi( $\Delta$ ) returns the signed fractional part of delta

This assumes that delta is not a runtime variable. If delta is required to be a runtime variable, it can be passed by separating it into a signed integer and unsigned fraction which are loaded into B1 and B0 respectively.

A second accumulator, A in this example, contains the positive real number value used to load the offset register, N 1 , which is used to offset the pointer, R1. R1 is used to address the correct location in the sine table. Since the table index must be an integer, only A1 is moved to N1. Incrementing by delta, however, is done on both the integer and fractional parts of the A accumulator. It should be noted that the increment of the register R1 is done by indexing the register with the offset register N1, thus leaving the original value of R1 (base address) unchanged. Note that the binary point is at an imaginary point between the two 24-bit parts of the accumulators. Initially we have:

ACC. $A=$

| 0 |
| :---: |
| A 1 |



After the first addition we get:


To wrap the pointer, A1, around when it is incremented past the length of the table, a masking operation is performed on A . The mask, contained in X 1 , is the table length minus one, $\mathrm{N}-1$, where N is restricted to be a power of two. This restriction is necessary to ensure that the mask consists of k least significant ones where k is defined by $2 \mathrm{k}=\mathrm{N}$. Using the above masking operation, the value of A 1 and consequently N 1 , is restricted to be between 0 and $\mathrm{N}-1$.

Resources required for the "SINWGRD" routine:
Data ROM 256 24-bit words for the sine table

## Program Memory 11 24-bit words for the initialization

4 24-bit words for the subroutine memory

MSF
$1 /(2 \cdot 50 \cdot 6) \mathrm{MHz}$
$=1.667 \mathrm{MHz}$

### 3.3 Harmonic Distortion

Due to the fact that the sine wave generated is an approximation, not all of the energy is at the fundamental frequency; a certain amount of the energy of the generated samples falls into frequencies other than the fundamental. Those frequencies are:

1. Harmonic frequencies, hf, i.e. integer multiplies of the fundamental frequency, $f$
2. Subharmonic frequencies, sf, where $\mathrm{s}=\mathrm{h} / \mathrm{d}$ and $\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{d}$ are integers

The resulting noise is measured in terms of THD, given by the following equation:

$$
\text { THD }=\frac{\text { spurious harmonic energy }}{\text { total energy of the waveform }} \text { Eqn. 3-2 }
$$

When using the table look-up algorithms, harmonic
distortion occurs from two distinct sources -

1. Quantization Error: Since the sine table values stored in memory are of finite word length (24 bits in this case) the sine values cannot be represented exactly. Quantization error is directly proportional to the word length. For example the sine of 45 degrees in decimal will be:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
0.7070707 & \text { using } 24 \text { bits } \\
0.7071 & \text { using } 16 \text { bits }
\end{array}
$$

2. Sampling Error: When points between table entries are sampled, i.e., delta is not an integer, then large errors are introduced because these points must be estimated from the table values. Since the sampling errors are derived from the table values, sampling errors are always greater than quantization errors.

The THD for different deltas and different table sizes is shown in Table 3-1(a), Table 3-1(b), and Table 3-2.

Table 3-1(a) Total Harmonic Distortion — Integer Delta

| N | $\Delta=2$ | $\Delta=3$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | $3.5527141 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $5.7949068 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 16 | $5.7949068 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.6274700 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 32 | $3.6274700 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 64 | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 128 | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 256 | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 512 | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6142553 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 1024 | $2.6142553 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.4857831 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |


| Table 3-1(b) Total Harmonic Distortion - Integer Delta |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta$ | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | $\mathrm{~N}=256$ |
| 1 | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 2 | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 3 | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 4 | $3.6274702 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 5 | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 6 | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 7 | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 8 | $5.7949069 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 9 | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 10 | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |


| Table 3-2 Total Harmonic Distortion — Real Delta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{N}=64$ | $\mathrm{~N}=128$ | $\mathrm{~N}=256$ |
|  | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
|  | $7.5141324 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $1.8539830 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $4.7061084 \cdot 10^{-05}$ |
|  | $6.0107522 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $1.4805426 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $3.7649080 \cdot 10^{-05}$ |
|  | $7.5141324 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $1.8539830 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $4.7061084 \cdot 10^{-05}$ |
|  | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
|  | $7.5141324 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $1.8539830 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $4.7061084 \cdot 10^{-05}$ |
| 5 | $7.9041085 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $1.9724369 \cdot 10^{-04}$ | $4.9414069 \cdot 10^{-05}$ |

The equations as well as the FORTRAN code used for calculating the THD in the above tables are included in Appendix A. With respect to Table 3-1(a), Table 3-1(b), and Table 3-2 some important observations and conclusions can be drawn:

1. For integer delta the THD for $N \leq 1024$ is of the same order of magnitude. This can be seen in Table 3-1 (a) and Table 3-1(b). For integer deltas the only errors which cause distortion are quantization errors.
2. For integer delta and small N the THD is nonuniform. This is evident for $\Delta=2$ and $\mathrm{N} \leq 128$ or $\Delta=3$ and $N \leq 64$ in Table 3-1(a). For small $N$, the quantization error distribution is not uniformly distributed between $\pm 1 / 2$ Least Significant Bit (LSB) thereby causing the THD values to be nonmonotonic.
3. For integer delta and large N the THD decreases monotonically with increasing N . This is evident for $\Delta=2$ and $N \leq 128$ or $\Delta=3$ and $N \leq 64$ in Table $3-1(\mathrm{a})$. For large N , the quantization error distribution will tend to be uniform between $\pm 1 / 2$ LSB resulting in the monotonic behavior.
4. THD for odd deltas and any N is constant. Similarly, THD for even deltas and any N is constant with the exception of delta equal to a power of two greater than 2 (see Table 3-1(b)). The explanation rests with the observation that all N points are used for generating sine waves using odd deltas. The N/2 even points are used twice for generating sine waves using even deltas with the exception of delta being a power of two greater than 2. For this exception, the points used in generating the sine waves are separated by delta and are used delta times. As noted in Appendix A, for integer deltas the total number of points used in the THD calculations will be independent of delta and equal to N .
5. THD depends on the fractional part of delta. The THD for $\Delta=2.50$ is less than either 2.25 or 2.75 (see Table 3-2), because the fractional part generates an integer every other access for $\Delta=2.50$ and every other fourth access for 2.25 or 2.75 . Therefore, every other sample is free of sampling error for $\Delta=2.5$ but only every fourth sample is free of sampling error for the other two cases. Observe that the THD for 2.25, 2.75 , and 8.25 is the same. The THD for 11.625 is slightly higher because the fractional part forms an integer only every eighth access.
6. The THD for non-integer deltas decreases with increasing table length as seen in Table 3-2. Consider the same delta entries for different N . By increasing the table length, the difference between table entries decreases, resulting in better approximations to the non-integer samples, hence reduced sampling errors.

## SECTION 4

## Table Look-Up with Linear Interpolation

". . . the THD improves dramatically for non-integer values of delta when using linear interpolation." In order to synthesize a sine wave of any frequency with low distortion, an interpolation method must be used together with table look-up. By using interpolation, sine values between table entries can be represented more accurately. The easiest interpolation technique to implement is linear interpolation. For linear interpolation the sine value for a point between successive table entries is assumed to lie on the straight line between the two values. This is illustrated in Figure $4-1$ where $\Delta=2.5$ and $\mathrm{N}=8$. Contrast this figure with Figure 3-4.

The algorithm used for linear interpolation is based on the equation of a straight line:

$$
y=m \cdot x+b
$$

where: $\quad m=$ the slope of the line
$x=$ the $x$-coordinate value
$b=$ the initial $y$ value
$y=$ the new $y$ value

For linear interpolation:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mathrm{m}= & \mathrm{S}[\mathrm{i}+1]-\mathrm{S}[\mathrm{i}] ; \mathrm{i.e} ., \text { it is the slope of the line } \\
& \text { segment between successive table } \\
& \text { entries } \mathrm{i} \text { and } \mathrm{i}+1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Therefore, we have:

$$
S[i+x]=S[i]+x \cdot\{S[i+1]-S[i]\}
$$



Figure 4-1 Real Delta Interpolation

The program flow diagram for the SINe-Wave Generation Linear Interpolation ("SINWGLI") routine is presented in Figure 4-2.


Figure 4-2"SINWGLl" Flow Diagram

The assembler listing is given in Figure 4-3; the memory map is presented in Figure 4-4; and the Data ALU programmer's model is presented in Figure 4-5.

The sine table is stored in Y ROM starting at HEX 100. The address pointer, R1, points to the current sine value while address pointer, R2, points to the current plus one sine value, $\mathrm{R} 2=\mathrm{R} 1+1$. The slope between successive points is determined by subtracting the table entry pointed to by R2 from the table entry pointed to by R1.

For the "SINWGLI" routine, the fractional part of the linear approximation, $x$, is stored in the accumulator, A 0 , and the integer portion is stored in A 1 . A1 is "moved" into N1 which is used to index the R1 register. The same value that is moved in N1 is moved in N2 which is used to index R2. Note that in contrast to the "SINWGRD" routine, the content of A0 has to be right shifted so that it is in the correct positive signed fractional format prior to performing the multiplication to generate the linear approximation. Delta can be any positive real number between 0.0 and $\mathrm{N} / 2$. Accumulator B is first used to separate delta into a signed integer and an unsigned fraction (see "SINWGRD" routine), and then it is used to calculate the interpolated sample value. Note that an immediate long move (i.e., using the " $>$ " sign) must be specified when saving the integer part of delta in Y1 because (@CVI( $\Delta$ )) would be interpreted as a signed fraction otherwise (8). The part of the routine that generates the sine samples is given in the form of a subroutine.

Figure 4-3 "SINWGLI" Routine

Six address arithmetic unit registers are used in this routine; besides R1, R2, R4, N1, and N2, the modifier register M2 is used. The use of M2 is twofold. First, M2 is used to store the mask value before it is used in the AND masking operation. (This masking operation constrains R1 + N1 within the desired region in memory, i.e., implements modulo addressing.) Second, it is used to activate modulo arithmetic updates of R2 + N2. This is necessary to take care of the special case where R1 +N 1 points to $\$ 1 \mathrm{FF}$. Since R2 + N2 equals R1 + N1 + 1, it would point to $\$ 200$ instead of $\$ 100$ without modulo arithmetic.


Figure 4-4"SINWGLl" Memory Map


Figure 4-5 "SINWGLI" Data ALU Programmer's Model

Resources required for the "SINWGLl" routine:

## Data ROM 256 24-bit words for the sine table

Program Memory 1724 -bit words for the initialization
12 24-bit words for the program memory
MSF $\quad 1 /(2 \cdot 50 \cdot 16) \mathrm{MHz}=0.625 \mathrm{MHz}$

### 4.1 Harmonic Distortion

As expected the THD improves dramatically for non-integer values of delta when using linear interpolation. This is of course because the intermediate points are better approximated. The performance for integer deltas remains the same as that for the direct table look-up methods already discussed. The results for the THD using linear interpolation are shown in Table 4-1 and these results should be directly compared with the results in Table 3-2.

| Table 4-1 Total Harmonic Distortion - Real Delta |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Delta$ | $\mathbf{N}=64$ | $\mathbf{N}=128$ | $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{2 5 6}$ |
| 2.00 | $2.8356370 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 2.25 | $2.0443282 \cdot 10^{-07}$ | $1.2762312 \cdot 10^{-08}$ | $7.9741605 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| 2.50 | $3.6316863 \cdot 10^{-07}$ | $2.2683957 \cdot 10^{-08}$ | $1.4175620 \cdot 10^{-09}$ |
| 2.75 | $2.0443282 \cdot 10^{-07}$ | $1.2762312 \cdot 10^{-08}$ | $79741605 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| 3.00 | $3.4157912 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.8659804 \cdot 10^{-15}$ | $2.6423040 \cdot 10^{-15}$ |
| 8.25 | $2.0443282 \cdot 10^{-07}$ | $1.2762312 \cdot 10^{-08}$ | $7.9741605 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |
| 11.625 | $1.4913862 \cdot 10^{-07}$ | $9.3069933 \cdot 10^{-09}$ | $5.8146748 \cdot 10^{-10}$ |

With respect to Table 4-1 some important observations and conclusions can be drawn:

1. THD is reduced by 103 by using linear interpolation with direct table look-up. This can be verified by comparing Table 3-2 with Table 4-1. This is because an extra correctional value is added to the sine value obtained from the sine table. This correctional value is the product of the slope at the specific point and the fractional part of the pointer (i.e., $m-x$ ).
2. THD depends only on the fractional part of delta when linear interpolation is used. It is a maximum for the fractional part $=0.5$ and symmetrical about this midpoint. This is evident in Table 4-1. This is because the linear approximation is poorest at the midpoint.

## APPENDIX A

## Computation of Total Harmonic Distortion (THD)

"To determine the precision needed to calculate THD, consider the inherent symmetry in the DFT."

The equation for calculating THD (given in Eqn. 3-2) can be rewritten as:

$$
\mathrm{THD}=\frac{\mathrm{ET}-\mathrm{EF}}{\mathrm{ET}}
$$

where: $E T=$ the total energy of the wave $E F=$ the energy of the fundamental frequency

For accurate and correct results, the above energy terms must be calculated over a full cycle of the synthesized sine wave. In the case of direct table lookup, a full cycle may require several passes through the sine table. The number of passes depends on the value of delta used.

A full cycle will be synthesized for the smallest n for which $n \cdot \Delta$ is evenly divisible by $N$. For example, if the table length, $N=128$, and the step size, $\Delta=2.5=$ $5 / 2$, then a complete cycle occurs for $n=256$, since $256 \cdot 2.5 / 128=5$. Figure 3-4 illustrates an example for $N=8$ and $\Delta=2.5$. The $(2 \cdot 8=) 16$ points required for the THD calculation are shown on the figure.

In general, if $\Delta=A / B$, where $A$ and $B$ are relatively prime numbers, then the minimum number of samples, N ', which must be output to synthesize a full cycle is $\mathrm{N}^{\prime}=\mathrm{B} \cdot \mathrm{N}$.

The total energy, ET , in a cycle of length $\mathrm{BN}(\mathrm{BN}=$ $B \cdot N$ ) is given by:

$$
E T=\sum_{i=0}^{B N-1} x(i) \cdot x(i)
$$

where: $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{i})$ is the $\mathrm{i}^{\mathrm{t}}$ sample of the sine-wave sequence
The amount of energy in the fundamental frequency, EF, over the same period is given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{EF} & =(1 / \mathrm{BN}) \bullet\left(|\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~A})|^{2}+|\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{BN}-\mathrm{A})|^{2}\right) \\
& =(2 / \mathrm{BN}) \bullet\left(|\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{~A})|^{2}\right) \quad \text { for a real sequence }
\end{aligned}
$$

Eqn. A-3
where the $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{k})$ are terms of the Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) defined by the following equation:

$$
X(k)=\sum_{n=0}^{B N-1} x(n) e^{[-j(2 \cdot \pi / B N) \cdot n \cdot k]}
$$

The $x(n)$ values used to calculate THD in Table 3-1(a), Table 3-1(b), Table 3-2, and Table 4-1 are based on actual values computed by the DSP56001/2 for the 3 sample sine-wave generator programs described in this document. The THD computation was carried out using VAX VMS FORTRAN and by using the formulas given above with double precision floating point arithmetic(9).

The VAX VMS FORTRAN source code used for the computation of THD is given in Figure A-1.

Some important details concerning the computation of the THD should be noted. ENERG3 and (ENERG2-EFUND) ideally should have the same value. However, a difference may occur because ENERG2 is much greater than EFUND. The result of the subtraction will be inaccurate due to numerical precision limitations. To determine the precision needed to calculate THD, consider the inherent symmetry in the DFT. For a real sequence $x(n)$, the DFT sequence, $X(k)$, exhibits the following symmetries (10):

1. $\operatorname{Re}\{X(k)\}=\operatorname{Re}\{X(N-k)\}$
2. $\operatorname{Im}\{X(k)\}=-\operatorname{Im}\{X(N-k)\}$
3. $\operatorname{Mag}\{X(k)\}=\operatorname{Mag}\{X(N-k)\}$
4. $\operatorname{Arg}\{X(k)\}=\operatorname{Arg}\{X(N-k)\}$
where: $\quad N$ is the length of the DFT
Therefore, using symmetry 3 as a check for the correct results for $\mathrm{N}=128$, we should have:
```
\(\operatorname{Mag}\{X(1)\}=\operatorname{Mag}\{X(127)\}\)
\(\operatorname{Mag}\{X(2)\}=\operatorname{Mag}\{X(126)\}\)
    -
\(\operatorname{Mag}\{X(n)\}=\operatorname{Mag}\{X(128-n)\}\)
```

This, in turn, means that the following equalities should hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \cos (2 \bullet \pi \bullet k \bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N})=\cos (2 \bullet \pi \bullet(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{k}) \bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N}) \\
& \sin (2 \bullet \pi \bullet \mathrm{k} \bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N})=-\sin (2 \bullet \pi \bullet(\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{k}) \bullet \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{N})
\end{aligned}
$$ Eqn. A-5

where: $\quad n=0,1,2, \ldots B N-1$
$k=0,1,2, \ldots B N-1$
If single precision accuracy is used in calculating the THD, the above equalities do NOT hold. For example,

$$
\text { let } \begin{aligned}
& k=1 \\
& n=128 \\
& A R G 1=2 \cdot \pi \cdot n \cdot 1 / 128 \\
& A R G 2=2 \cdot \pi \cdot n \cdot 127 / 128
\end{aligned}
$$

Then, as shown in Table A-1, cos (ARG1) does not equal cos (ARG2) when truncating to only 8 digits. If, however, double precision is used, the equalities of Eqn. A-5 are satisifed, as shown in Table A-2 even when truncating to 10 digits.

## Table A-1 Single Precision Accuracy

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s } ( A R G 1 )}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s } ( A R G 2 )}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i n } ( A R G 1 )}$ | $\boldsymbol{- \boldsymbol { s i n } ( A R G 2 )}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 125 | 0.9891766 | 0.9891724 | 0.1467302 | -0.1467580 |
| 126 | 0.9951848 | 0.9951788 | 0.0980167 | -0.0980776 |
| 127 | 0.9987954 | 0.9987938 | 0.0490676 | -0.0491002 |

Table A-2 Double Precision Accuracy

| $\mathbf{n}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s } ( A R G 1 )}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { c o s } ( A R G 2 )}$ | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i n } ( A R G 1 )}$ | $\boldsymbol{- \boldsymbol { s i n } ( A R G 2 )}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 125 | 0.989176509 | 0.989176509 | 0.146730474 | -0.146730474 |
| 126 | 0.995147266 | 0.995147266 | 0.098017140 | -0.098017140 |
| 127 | 0.998795456 | 0.998795456 | 0.049067674 | -0.049067674 |

```
C Program THD.FOR Using double precision real arithmetic
C Program to calculate THD for digital sine-wave generation without interpolation.
    IMPLICIT COMPLEX*16(X)
    REAL*8 ENERG1,ENERG2,ENERG3,S (2048),TWOPI,ISS (2048)
    DIMENSION X(0:2048)
    INTEGER*4 IS (2048)
    TYPE *,' ENTER TABLE SIZE (UP TO 2048)'
    ACCEPT *,NN
    TYPE *,'ENTER INPUT HEX FILENAME'
C the hex values are assumed to be }11\mathrm{ on each line, each consisting of 6 characters
and having a blank space in between them. This is the format of the "LOD" file
C that is generated by the assembler [5].
    READ (1,110) (IS (I) , I=l,NN)
110 FORMAT (ll (Z6,X))
    DO 120 I=l,NN
    IF(BTTEST(IS (I),23)) THEN
        IS (I)=JIOR(IS (I),'FF000000'X)
    ENDIF
120 CONTINUE
    DO 100 I=2,NN
    ISS (I)=IS (I)
100 CONTINUE
    TYPE *,' ENTER DELTA, BN, A'
    ACCEPT *,DELTA,BN,A
    AINDEX=0.0
    DO 200 IABC=l,BN
    INDEX=INT (AINDEX)
    S (IABC)=DBLE (ISS (INDEX+1))
    AINDEX=AINDEX+DELTA
    IF (AINDEX.GT.FLOAT (N)) AINDEX=AINDEX-FLOAT (N)
200 CONTINUE
    TWOPI=8.0*DATAN (l.D0)
    DO 400 IK=0,BN-1
    XSUM=DCMPLX (0.DO,ODO)
    DO 300 IN=0,BN-1
    XARG=DCMPLX(0.D0,-TWOPI*DBLE (IN)*DBLE (IK) /DBLE (BN) )
300 XSUM=XSUM+DCMPLX(S (IN+1),0.D0)*CDEXP (XARG))
    X(IK)=XSUM
400 CONTINUE
    DO 500 IK=0,BN-1
500 ENERGl=ENERGl+CDABS (X(IK))**2.D0)
    ENERGl=ENERGl/DBLE (BN)
    DO 505 IR=0,BN-1
    IF((IK.EQ.(A)).OR.(IK.EQ.(BN-INT(A)))) GOTO 505
    ENERG3=ENERG3+(CDABS (X (IK))**2.D0)
505 CONTINUE
    ENERG3=ENERG3/DBLE (BN)
    energ3 should be the same as EFUND
C DO 600 I=l,BN
600 ENERG2=ENERG2+(S (I)*S (I))
    TYPE *,' ENERGl=',ENERGl,' ENERG2=',ENERG2,'
    $ENERG3=',ENERG3
    EFUND = ((CDABS (X (A))**2) +(\operatorname{CDABS}(\textrm{X}(\textrm{BN}-\textrm{A}))**2))/DBLE (BN)
    TYPE *,' THD=',ENERG3/ENERG2,'EFUND=',EFUND
    END
```

Figure A-1 VAX VMS FORTRAN Source Code for THD Computation

## APPENDIX B

## The Sine Table

Table B-1 gives the sine entries for $\mathrm{N}=256$. The hexadecimal values are given in the signed fractional format used for the DSP56001. "\$" denotes a hexadecimal value.

Table B-1 Sine Table

| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :--- | :--- |
| S_01 | \$03242B |
| S_02 | \$0647D9 |
| S_03 | \$096A90 |
| S_04 | \$0C8BD3 |
| S_05 | \$0FAB27 |
| S_06 | \$12C810 |
| S_07 | \$15E214 |
| S_08 | \$18F8B8 |
| S_09 | \$1C0B82 |
| S_0A | $\$ 1 F 19 F 9$ |
| S_0B | \$2223A5 |
| S_0C | \$25280C |
| S_0D | $\$ 2826 B 9$ |
| S_0E | $\$ 2 B 1 F 35$ |
| S_0F | $\$ 2 E 110 A$ |
| S_10 | $\$ 30 F B C 5$ |
| S_11 |  |


| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :--- | :--- |
| S_12 | \$36BA20 |
| S_13 | \$398CDD |
| S_14 | \$3C56BA |
| S_15 | \$3F174A |
| S_16 | \$41CE1E |
| S_17 | \$447ACD |
| S_18 | \$471CED |
| S_19 | \$49B415 |
| S 1A | \$4EBFE9 |
| S_1B | \$5133CD |
| S_1C | \$539B2B |
| S_1D | \$55F5A5 |
| S_1E | \$5842DD |
| S_1F | \$5A827A |
| S_20 | \$5CB421 |
| S_21 |  |
| S_22 |  |

Table B-1 Sine Table (continued)

| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_23 | \$60EC38 |
| S_24 | \$62F202 |
| S_25 | \$64E889 |
| S_26 | \$66CF81 |
| S_27 | \$68A69F |
| S_28 | \$6A6D99 |
| S_29 | \$6C2429 |
| S_2A | \$6DCA0D |
| S_2B | \$6F5F03 |
| S_2C | \$70E2CC |
| S_2D | \$72552D |
| S_2E | \$73B5EC |
| S_2F | \$7504D3 |
| S_30 | \$7641AF |
| S_31 | \$776C4F |
| S_32 | \$788484 |
| S_33 | \$798A24 |
| S_34 | \$7A7D05 |
| S_35 | \$7B5D04 |
| S_36 | \$7C29FC |
| S_37 | \$7CE3CF |
| S_38 | \$7D8A5F |
| S_39 | \$7E1D94 |
| S_3A | \$7E9D56 |
| S_3B | \$7F0992 |


| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_3C | \$7F6237 |
| S_3D | \$7FA737 |
| S_3E | \$7FD888 |
| S_3F | \$7FF622 |
| S_40 | \$7FFFFF |
| S_41 | \$7FF622 |
| S_42 | \$7FD888 |
| S_43 | \$7FA737 |
| S_44 | \$7F6237 |
| S_45 | \$7F0992 |
| S_46 | \$7E9D56 |
| S_47 | \$7E1D94 |
| S_48 | \$7D8A5F |
| S_49 | \$7CE3CF |
| S_4A | \$7C29FC |
| S_4B | \$7B5D04 |
| S_4C | \$7A7D05 |
| S_4D | \$798A24 |
| S_4E | \$788484 |
| S_4F | \$776C4F |
| S_50 | \$7641AF |
| S_51 | \$7504D3 |
| S_52 | \$73B5EC |
| S_53 | \$72552D |
| S_54 | \$70E2CC |

Table B-1 Sine Table (continued)

| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_55 | \$6F5F03 |
| S_56 | \$6DCA0D |
| S_57 | \$6C2429 |
| S_58 | \$6A6D99 |
| S_59 | \$68A69F |
| S_5A | \$66CF81 |
| S_5B | \$64E889 |
| S_5C | \$62F202 |
| S_5D | \$60EC38 |
| S_5E | \$5ED77D |
| S_5F | \$5CB421 |
| S_60 | \$5A827A |
| S_61 | \$5842DD |
| S_62 | \$55F5A5 |
| S_63 | \$539B2B |
| S_64 | \$5133CD |
| S_65 | \$4EBFE9 |
| S_66 | \$4C3FE0 |
| S_67 | \$49B415 |
| S_68 | \$471CED |
| S_69 | \$447ACD |
| S_6A | \$41CE1E |
| S_6B | \$3F174A |
| S_6C | \$3C56BA |
| S_6D | \$398CDD |


| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_6E | \$36BA20 |
| S_6F | \$33DEF3 |
| S_70 | \$30FBC5 |
| S_71 | \$2E110A |
| S_72 | \$2B1F35 |
| S_73 | \$2826B9 |
| S_74 | \$25280C |
| S_75 | \$2223A5 |
| S_76 | \$1F19F9 |
| S_77 | \$1C0B82 |
| S_78 | \$18F8B8 |
| S_79 | \$15E214 |
| S_7A | \$12C810 |
| S_7B | \$0FABD |
| S_7C | \$0C8BD3 |
| S_7D | \$096A90 |
| S_7E | \$0647D9 |
| S_7F | \$03242B |
| S_80 | \$000000 |
| S_81 | \$FCDBD5 |
| S_82 | \$F9B827 |
| S_83 | \$F69570 |
| S_84 | \$F3742D |
| S_85 | \$F054D9 |
| S_86 | \$ED37F0 |

Table B-1 Sine Table (continued)

| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_87 | \$EA1DEC |
| S_88 | \$E70748 |
| S_89 | \$E3F47E |
| S_8A | \$E0E607 |
| S_8B | \$DDDC5B |
| S_8C | \$DAD7F4 |
| S_8D | \$D7D947 |
| S_8E | \$D4E0CB |
| S_8F | \$D1EEF6 |
| S_90 | \$CF043B |
| S_91 | \$CC210D |
| S_92 | \$C945E0 |
| S_93 | \$C67323 |
| S_94 | \$C3A946 |
| S_95 | \$C0E8B6 |
| S_96 | \$BE31E2 |
| S_97 | \$BB8533 |
| S_98 | \$B8E313 |
| S_99 | \$B64BEB |
| S_9A | \$B3C020 |
| S_9B | \$B14017 |
| S_9C | \$AECC33 |
| S_9D | \$AC64D5 |
| S_9E | \$AA0A5B |
| S_9F | \$A7BD23 |


| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_A0 | \$A57D86 |
| S_A1 | \$A34BDF |
| S_A2 | \$A12883 |
| S_A3 | \$9F13C8 |
| S_A4 | \$9D0DFE |
| S_A5 | \$9B1777 |
| S_A6 | \$99307F |
| S_A7 | \$975961 |
| S_A8 | \$959267 |
| S_A9 | \$93DBD7 |
| S_AA | \$9235F3 |
| S_AB | \$90A0FD |
| S_AC | \$8F1D34 |
| S_AD | \$8DAAD3 |
| S_AE | \$8C4A14 |
| S_AF | \$8AFB2D |
| S_B0 | \$89BE51 |
| S_B1 | \$8893B1 |
| S_B2 | \$877B7C |
| S_B3 | \$8675DC |
| S_B4 | \$8582FB |
| S_B5 | \$84A2FC |
| S_B6 | \$83D604 |
| S_B7 | \$831C31 |
| S_B8 | \$8275A1 |

Table B-1 Sine Table (continued)

| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_B9 | \$81E26C |
| S_BA | \$8162AA |
| S_BB | \$80F66E |
| S_BC | \$809DC9 |
| S_BD | \$8058C9 |
| S_BE | \$802778 |
| S_BF | \$8009DE |
| S_C0 | \$800000 |
| S_C1 | \$8009DE |
| S_C2 | \$802778 |
| S_C3 | \$8058C9 |
| S_C4 | \$809DC9 |
| S_C5 | \$80F66E |
| S_C6 | \$8162AA |
| S_C7 | \$81E26C |
| S_C8 | \$8275A1 |
| S_C9 | \$831C31 |
| S_CA | \$83D604 |
| S_CB | \$84A2FC |
| S_CC | \$8582FB |
| S_CD | \$8675DC |
| S_CE | \$877B7C |
| S_CF | \$8893B1 |
| S_D0 | \$89BE51 |
| S_D1 | \$8AFB2D |


| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_D2 | \$8C4A14 |
| S_D3 | \$8DAAD3 |
| S_D4 | \$8F1D34 |
| S_D5 | \$90A0FD |
| S_D6 | \$9235F3 |
| S_D7 | \$93DBD7 |
| S_D8 | \$959267 |
| S_D9 | \$975961 |
| S_DA | \$99307F |
| S_DB | \$9B1777 |
| S_DC | \$9D0DFE |
| S_DD | \$9F13C8 |
| S_DE | \$A12883 |
| S_DF | \$A34BDF |
| S_E0 | \$A57D86 |
| S_E1 | \$A7BD23 |
| S_E2 | \$AA0A5B |
| S_E3 | \$AC64D5 |
| S_E4 | \$AECC33 |
| S_E5 | \$B14017 |
| S_E6 | \$B3C020 |
| S_E7 | \$B64BEB |
| S_E8 | \$B8E313 |
| S_E9 | \$BB8533 |
| S_EA | \$BE31E2 |

Table B-1 Sine Table (continued)

| ADDRESS | VALUE |
| :---: | :---: |
| S_EB | \$C0E8B6 |
| S_EC | \$C3A946 |
| S_ED | \$C67323 |
| S_EE | \$C945E0 |
| S_EF | \$CC210D |
| S_F0 | \$CF043B |
| S_F1 | \$D1EEF6 |
| S_F2 | \$D4E0CB |
| S_F3 | \$D7D947 |
| S_F4 | \$DAD7F4 |
| S_F5 | \$DDDC5B |
| S_F6 | \$E0E607 |
| S_F7 | \$E3F47E |
| S_F8 | \$E70748 |
| S_F9 | \$EA1DEC |
| S_FA | \$ED37F0 |
| S_FB | \$F054D9 |
| S_FC | \$F3742D |
| S_FD | \$F69570 |
| S_FE | \$F9B827 |
| S_FF | \$FCDBD5 |
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